This template outlines the activities associated with the initiation, development, approval, and implementation of a new university-college collaborative degree program that incorporates an existing diploma. The activities are listed in sequential order; however, it should be noted that many of these processes occur concurrently. While the developers of the document took care to consult with colleagues at other universities and colleges in order to devise a process that could guide the development of a new collaborative degree program that incorporates an existing diploma, any institution following this process should determine and identify the key stakeholders and approval committees in advance to ensure compliance with their academic procedures and policies.

Using this template

The primary activities or milestones are highlighted in grey. Each milestone has a number of sub-activities. Those activities that are the unique responsibility of the university partner are highlighted in red and those activities that the unique responsibility of the college partner are highlighted in green. Each milestone and sub-activity are electronically bookmarked to facilitate navigation through the template. In determining the prioritization of activities, the following model was used:

- High: Activities to be completed/considered at least 2 years prior to program launch;
- Medium: Activities to be completed/considered at least 1 year prior to program launch;
- Low: Activities to be completed/considered by program launch.

Under the column Responsibility, insert the name, title and contact information for each institution.

Throughout the template, recommended best practices are indicated. These best practices are based on the experience of the developers of this template on implementing collaborative programs.

Comments and questions on this template can be directed to: Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic) provost@carleton.ca

Project Leads: (insert names here)

Key Stakeholders: (insert names here)

Issue	Priority	Description	Tasks/Decisions	Due	Responsibility
1. High level institutional intent	High	 Commitment from both institutions to collaborate MOU to be developed 	Collaborative team from both institutions to develop 5 year plan and hold semi- annual meetings		 Provost (university) Vice-President Academic (college)
1.1 Confirmation of targets			 To be determined at least 2 years in advance of start-up and confirmed on a yearly basis 		
2. Curriculum Development	High	 Labour market, applicant demand research and competitive landscape analysis Identify the learning outcomes of the collaborative program Develop curriculum in support of achieving the learning outcomes Identify pedagogy, high impact practices Develop plan for assessing learning outcomes 			 Program developers at University and College

2.1 Market Research and Applicant Demand	Identify projected industry sector demand and applicant demand for proposed program	 Identify similar existing programs What makes the proposed program unique compared to other like programs? What are the similarities and differences as compared to related programming and credential types? How does the program meet the demands of the labour market? University/college identify whether local labour market can support degree co-op requirements Consult with relevant college committee on the proposed program to validate labour market analysis. Obtain letters of support from labour market, as appropriate, that are linked to the proposed program 	 Program developers at university and college identify similar programs and conduct analysis of program similarities and differences University and college staff conduct market analysis to establish labour market need for the proposed program Relevant college committee(s) (eg., PAC) University and college staff conduct survey and analysis of co-op
2.2 Establish the learning outcomes of the collaborative program	 Draft learning outcomes for the collaborative program ensuring the existing diploma outcomes are embedded Develop curriculum map to demonstrate that the learning outcomes of the existing diploma program map to the learning outcomes of the collaborative degree Review draft learning outcomes with colleagues at university and college. Revise as necessary 	 Review with colleagues Revision of learning outcomes 	 and analysis of co-op opportunities Program developers at university and college

2.3 Develop curriculum in support of achieving the learning outcomes	 Identify the admission requirements and proposed courses and learning experiences that will comprise the program at both the university and college 	 What are the admission requirements? Evaluate the existing diploma curriculum to determine the "split" between university and college courses. Determine if courses meeting diploma requirements (e.g., gen eds) can be taken instead at university? Establish space requirements in service courses – letters of support from service departments may be required Obtain letters of support from supporting units Identify needs for new courses, changes to existing programs, or revision of learning outcomes Develop the curriculum map demonstrating the relationship of combined existing and new courses in meeting the learning outcomes Obtain letter of support from co-op, as appropriate 	 Program developers at university and college Consultation with appropriate departments (eg., respective ROs, service departments, etc.)
2.4 Identify pedagogy, high impact practices opportunities	 Options include: co-op, practicum, internship, study abroad, language requirement, ePortfolios, online, thesis, research essay, project, course-only 	 Identify resource requirements Determine minimal requirements for students to access opportunities (e.g., GPA), as appropriate Obtain letters of support from support departments from respective institutions, as appropriate 	 Program developers at university and college

2.5 Develop plan for assessing learning outcomes		 Overall assessment plan that identifies: who will conduct the assessment; what will be assessed; how and when the assessment will be conducted; how the results will be disseminated to faculty/staff/students; how curriculum changes will be identified/made following assessment For each learning outcome, the assessment plan should identify: who will conduct the assessment; what will be assessed; how and when the assessment will be conducted; how the results will be disseminated to faculty/staff/students; how curriculum changes will be identified/made following assessment 	 Ensure that the assessment plan includes existing practices for assessment of the diploma Feedback loop – if curriculum changes are made to existing diploma, how will these changes affect the Learning Outcomes of the collaborative program? Ensure assessment is reflected in the program governance structure Revise assessment plan as necessary to accommodate changes to the program 	Program developers at university and college
3. Financial Model	High	 Agreement by both institutions on the shared financial model 	 Net revenue is apportioned based on proportion of credits taught. Net Revenue = Total Revenue – Deductions Additional calculation is required to determine appropriate split of ancillary fees. Where students will be co-located at both institutions, the ancillary fees may be split. Where students will be located primarily or exclusively at one of the collaborating institutions, the fee model will need to be adjusted to reflect usage of ancillary services at one institution. 	 Relevant administrators and departments at university and college

3.1 Ancillary Fees 3.2 Space		 Determine appropriate division of ancillary fees See Section 12 for additional considerations Determine appropriate budget for space resources See Section 5.8 for additional 	 At which institution will students have access to ancillary services? To which services will they have access? How is space budgeted at the institutions? 	 Relevant administrators and departments at university and college Relevant administrators and departments at 	
4. Program Quality Assurance	High	 See <u>Section 5.8</u> for additional considerations Quality Assurance approval at university 	College program developers present	epartments at university and college Relevant offices and	
Responsibilities		 Where it is intended that the requirements of the proposed university program will also meet the requirements of a college diploma, program quality review defers to cycle and processes established at university. College's QA committees will review and ensure diploma requirements continue to be met through the delivery of the degree. 	mapping demonstrating how the collaborative program meets the diploma requirements and outcomes to relevant college committees	committees at university and college	
4.1 Initial Approval (university)	ersity)	alignment with the Strategic Ma	alignment with the Strategic Mandate Agreement, Strategic Plan and resources	 Prepare proposal for consideration 	 Program Developers Dean(s)/Associate Dean(s) or designate
		 required to develop and deliver the program Use Learning Outcomes to discuss 	Request business plan from relevant department	Program Developers	
		 program overview; alignment with SMAs, and university and college's strategic plans; student demand; market demand; and resource requirements To be reviewed by the relevant Dean(s)/Associate Dean(s) at university and college Approval in principle by relevant academic unit and collaborating units and Faculty-level committees where appropriate. 	Request additional information and reports as required	Relevant department(s)	

4.2 Initial Approval (college)	 Initial approval to pursue development of a collaborative program on the basis of alignment with Strategic Mandate Agreement, Strategic Plan and Annual Business Plan To be reviewed by the Dean(s) (college) and senior executive leadership, as appropriate 	 Prepare proposal for consideration Request relevant supporting data such as KPI comparator information Prepare preliminary environmental scan 	 Relevant Dean and committees Relevant department(s)
4.3 Program Business Plan/Financial Approval (university)	 Relevant financial committee approves the business plan of the proposed program. Once approved, Dean(s) can make the budget request in the fiscal year in which the resources are required 	 Prepare relevant documentation 	 Relevant department(s) and committee at the university
4.4 Program Business Plan/Financial Approval (college)	 Relevant business plan/financial committee approves the business plan, faculty and space requirements for the new collaborative program and modified delivery of diploma (may be preliminary) 	 Determine/request facilities assessment Prepare business plan 	 Deans Relevant department(s) and committee at the college
4.5 Prepare 3 Volume Brief (Volume 1: Self-Study; Volume 2: Faculty CVs; Volume 3:	 Program developers begin preparing the 3 Volume Brief Use learning outcomes as basis for 	Prepare Vol 1: Self-Study	 Program developers at college and university
External Reviewers)	narrative – ensure that program overview, alignment with strategic plan, faculty, governance, admissions, resources reflect	Request review of admissions requirements by Admissions Officer	Admissions
	Learning Outcomes	Request review of rules/regulations by registrar's office	Registrar's office – university and college
		 Request review of calendar language (program and courses) by registrar's office 	 Registrar's office – university and college
		Collect faculty CVs for Vol 2	Program Developers

		Determine composition of external review team	Program Developers
		Prepare Vol 3: external reviewers	Program Developers
4.6 Institutional Approval for Site Visit (university)	• The relevant university committee reviews and approves the self-study on the basis of the IQAP		•
4.7 Academic Program Approval (college)	 The relevant college committee reviews the academic program the Ontario College Diploma/Ontario College Advanced Diploma credential requirements to ensure compliance May include review of the final business plan, as appropriate 	Prepare mapping documentation	 Program Developers Relevant college curriculum support services
4.8 Site Visit	 External Reviewers participate in a site visit during which they meet with faculty, staff, and students (as appropriate) at 	 Prepare site visit agenda 	 Program Developers Relevant staff at university and college
	both university and college	 Confirm logistics for external reviewers (accommodations, transport) Confirm refreshments, room bookings, etc. 	Relevant staff at university and college
4.9 External Reviewers' Report and Response	 Within an agreed upon amount of time following the site visit, external reviewers submit their report to relevant department at the university. In conjunction with the relevant Dean(s) at the university and college, the Program Developers prepare a response in writing, which is submitted to the relevant department at the university 	Communication to Program Developers	Relevant department at the university
		Response by Program Developers	 Program Developers/ Dean(s)

4.10 Institutional Approval of New Program (university)	 Relevant QA committee at the university approves the new program based on the external reviewers' report and the response by the Program Developers The Final Assessment Report and Executive Summary is authored 	 Approval of the Final Assessment Report and Executive Summary Communication to the Program Developers, including any outstanding issues/questions Communication of outcomes to Deans and to relevant department(s) at the college 	 Relevant university QA Committee Relevant department at the university relevant department at the university
4.11 Academic Program Approval (college)	 Should the external review of the program necessitate changes to the program, this should be brought back for review and approval by the appropriate academic program approval committee 	Revise mapping documentation and any other documentation, as necessary	 Program Developers Relevant college curriculum support services and approval body as necessary
4.12 Faculty Curriculum Committee Approval (university)	The relevant Faculty Curriculum Committee(s) approves the calendar language of the program		Faculty Curriculum Committee
4.13 Faculty Board Approval (university)	• The relevant Faculty Board(s) approve the calendar language of the program		Faculty Board
4.14 Institutional Approval of calendar language (university)	Relevant committee approves the calendar language, admissions, and rules and regulations associated with the program		 Relevant committee and department(s) at university
4.15 Senate sub-committee Approval (university)	The relevant Senate sub-committee approves the proposal on the basis that due process was followed and that the outcome is appropriate based on that process	Documentation prepared by relevant department	 Relevant department at the university
4.16 Senate Approval (university)	Senate approves the proposal	Documentation prepared by relevant department	Relevant department at the university

4.17 Academic Leadership Approval (college)	 The relevant academic leadership committee or representative(s) approves the modifications to the existing college credentials to be offered as part of new collaborative program 	Documentation prepared by relevant academic administrator	 Dean/academic administrator or designate Relevant department at the college
4.18 Senior Executive Leadership Approval (college)	 Senior executive leadership committee or representative(s) approves the modifications to the existing college credentials to be offered as part of new collaborative program 	 Documentation prepared by relevant academic administrator 	 Dean/academic administrator Relevant department at the college
4.19 Board of Governors academic affairs sub-committee, if in place (college)	 BoG academic affairs sub-committee, if in place, receives notification of delivery modification to the existing college credentials to be offered as part of new collaborative program 	 Documentation prepared by relevant academic administrator 	 Dean/academic administrator BoG
4.20 Board of Governors (college)	 BoG receives consent agenda item on the delivery modification to the existing college credentials to be offered as part of new collaborative program 	BoG Office	DeanSVPABoG
4.21 Quality Council Approval	 Quality Council Appraisal Committee and Quality Council approve the proposal 	 Documentation prepared by relevant department at university Documentation submitted to QC via QAMS 	 Relevant department at university Relevant department at university
4.22 MTCU Approval	 MTCU approves the proposal for BIU- eligibility based on the institutions' SMA There are four annual submission deadlines Within 30 days of submission, 	 Documentation prepared by relevant department(s) at university 	 Relevant department(s) at university
	confirmation will be received on whether the proposal will undergo an expedited or regular approval process	 Submitted to MTCU by university President and college President 	 university President and college President

4.23 Board of Governors (university)		 College BoG is notified of the approval of the program (for information only) 	Memo to BoG	Provost
5. Program Implementation	High			
5.1 Learning Outcomes Assessment infrastructure		 Ensure that infrastructure is built into the program implementation plan, including faculty appointments and course development 		 Academic Unit, facilitated by relevant department(s) at university and college
5.2 Course development		 Develop courses annually in consideration of the roll-out of the program 		 Faculty Relevant department(s) at university and college
5.3 Pedagogical tools and high impact practices		 In concert with the learning outcomes assessment plan, ensure high impact practices are incorporated into key courses of the program 		 Faculty Relevant department(s) at university and college
5.4 Learning Management System		Review platforms for both institutions	 Consult with faculty whether they would like to use one or both platforms if different 	
5.5 Faculty workload		 Review faculty workload implications at both institutions 	Consult with Deans regarding impact to workload	

5.6 Faculty Appointments			Dean(s) make budget request	• Dean(s)
			 Academic Unit prepares position profile, with support from relevant department(s) at university and university 	 Academic Administrator(s) Relevant department(s) at university and college
5.7 Administrative Staffing	Low	 Staffing plan will wait until program is decided upon Unionized? Collective agreements? Benefits? Tuition benefit? 	• Will there be sharing of staff between the two institutions? On an ongoing basis or for start-up only?	
5.7.1 Job Descriptions				
5.7.2 Workspace				
5.7.3 Training				
5.7.4 Hours of Operation				
5.7.5 Computer Access				
5.7.6 Email address				
5.8 Space	Medium	 Discussions at both institutions will be required 	 Consult with faculty and decision makers regarding space and equipment requirements See financial model referenced in <u>Section</u> <u>3</u> 	
5.8.1 Classroom space		 Based on approved program curriculum, establish type of space needed (classroom, lab, etc) 		
5.8.2 Faculty space		• Determine office requirements for any faculty associated with program		
5.8.3 Administrative space		Determine requirements for administration of program		

5.9 Equipment requirements		 Submit annual request to appropriate committees for equipment requirements (software, lab, etc.) 	 Annual budget processes and approvals Academic Administrator(s) and Deans at university and college
6. Recruitment	High	 Collaborative team to determine recruitment plan for meeting enrolment targets Determine resources for implementation Determine cost-sharing Determine which of these should be integrated 	 University Recruitment College promotes through recruiting practices
6.1 Ad campaign		Highlight new program in advertising campaign.	
6.2 Mobile app		New program added to mobile app	
6.3 Video		• Produce video/slide show to highlight new program on website and social media posts	
6.4 Media		Pitching stories about new program to media	
6.5 Publications		Produce viewbook, brochures – paper and electronic	Integrated or separate for each institution?
6.6 Mailings/Emails		Determine distribution list	Who is responsible for mailings/emailing?
6.7 On campus events and campus visits		Develop events agenda, campus visit format	Who will coordinate? At which institution will these take place?
6.8 School visits - liaison		Meet with students to discuss program	 Will each institution conduct separate school visits or will they be integrated? Will the visits be integrated into the normal school visit schedule or standalone?
6.9 Guidance counsellor information		Provide detailed information to guidance counsellors	
6.10 Partners' conferences		Discuss program during conferences	Determine list of partners, format of information sharing

6.11 Tele-counselling campaigns		Call campaigns	 Which institution will coordinate? How will the call list be determined?
6.12 University fair (OUF)		Annual university fair participation	 Determine representation from each institution Who will coordinate? Will there be representation at the College Fair? Collegeto develop brochure with a link to the OUAC website
6.13 Social media		Twitter, Facebook	 Who will coordinate? Determine the message
6.14 High school visits during March break		 Half-day to full day agenda 	 At which institution will the visit take place? Determine representation from each institution
6.15 Website		Provide web presence on both institutional websites	 Which institution will coordinate? Who is responsible for maintaining/updating?
7. Admissions	High	 Determine admission requirements Application details Offer letters Transfer students 	Collaborative team to determine admission decision making process, which institution will coordinate; one intake per year
7.1 Application process - OUAC		 Program code Determine which institution will coordinate admission Add code to other institution application system 	
7.2 Application process - OCAS		 Program code Determine which institution will coordinate admission Add code to other institution application system 	 Reference/link to OUAC/university website link through OCAS

7.3 Admissions assessment	Minimum average, prerequisites	Determine who will be involved with admission assessment, decision
7.3.1 Special criteria	• Will special admissions criteria be required, such as a Portfolio?	 University: Portfolios due in March; offers out beginning late May
7.4 Logistics	LetterheadAcknowledgement, offer letter/electronic	Recommended best practice: joint letterhead, both institutions on all correspondence
7.5 Offer date, confirmation date, fees due date	 Determine when offers will go out to students, when confirmation of acceptance required, when are fees due 	Recommended best practice: follow the process at the coordinating institution
7.6 International and part-time students	 Are there any barriers for international students (i.e. coop requirement), is the program full time only? 	Confirm process for international applicants
7.7 Mature students – college grads	• Are there separate admission requirements for these students?	
7.8 Alternatives for those refused admission	 Default programs How to refer to alternatives (OUAC vs. OCAS) 	
7.9 Establishment of joint appeals committee	See <u>Section 19</u> Committees and Policies	
7.10 Orientation	Academic orientation, student orientation events	 Which institution will host orientation and inform students? Do students have access to orientation activities? Are academic orientation activities mandatory?
7.11 Transfer of credit on admission	 Recognition of previous post-secondary study 	 Are there limits on the number of credits eligible for transfer on admission? Recommended best practice: follow the process at the coordinating institution. Exceptions to Joint Committee for consideration

 7.12 Transfers in and out of program 7.13 Student unique ID 7.14 Providing both student IDs 		 Internal transfers Will student have separate IDs for each institution? Provide both with offer acceptance letter 	•	What are the deadlines? What are the criteria? Recommended best practice: students will have IDs at both institutions	
8. Scheduling/Timetabling/ Academic Year	High	 Are there differences in core hours between the institutions? Are there differences in term start and end dates? Determine who will build the schedule Determine if special rooms are required 	•	Collaborative team to determine all aspects of scheduling and timetabling. Develop quality student centered schedules	
8.1 Course scheduling		 Length of semester at each institution If different how will it be handled? 	•	Recommended best practices: follow the semester/term; meet parameters of the host institution coordinating the academic progress of the students; follow the core hours of the host institution	
8.2 Transfer of scheduling data		Once schedule is made transfer data to other institution as appropriate	•	Recommended best practice: normally the institution hosting registration builds the schedule	
8.3 Unique registration parameters		 Determine if registration /scheduling tool allows block registration 			
8.4 Start and end dates for term		Determine dates	•	Recommended best practice: follow the semester/term of the institution coordinating the academic progress of the students	

 9. Communication 9.1 Electronic communication from 	Medium	 To be addressed/coordinated once program curriculum complete Dates/Deadlines will drive the process of how we communicate Will need to differentiate between types of communications (program related/institution related) Need to establish coordinated approach 		
each institution				
9.2 Student email address		Determine institutional email address		
9.3 Website - joint		Used to promote the program		
9.4 Joint letterhead, logo		Needs to be developed prior to Admissions offer		
10. Registration	Medium	 Registration Setup Which institution will administer registration? Dates and Deadlines: which academic calendar will students follow Withdrawal: based on term set up – will standard withdrawal dates work for both institutions? What registration tool will be used? 	 Recommended best practice: follow the dates and deadlines of the institution coordinating registration 	
10.1 Course selection guides		Electronic guides for registration/course selection	 Determine who will coordinate Who is responsible for updating/maintaining? 	
10.2 Registration assistance/advising		 Provide assistance to students regarding registration process, course selection 	How will it be accessed?How will it be staffed?At which institution?	
10.3 Where are the students registered		 Which institution will coordinate registration? 	Determine access to registration system	
10.4 Choosing electives		Access to advisers to assist with course selection		

10.5 Student classification (FT/PT)		• Determine criteria for full-time/part- time status	Recommended best practice: normally follow the criteria from the institution coordinating admission/registration
10.6 Access to courses from non- program students		• Will students from outside the program be given access to courses?	If so, which institution will determine/provide access?
11. Fees	Medium	Non-refundable deposit?Fee due dates	 Collaborative team to determine which institution will coordinate admission/registration Recommended best practice: follow the normal process of coordinating institution
11.1 Tuition		 Review MTCU new regulations Fee schedule and processes based on University regulations 	
11.2 Ancillary and miscellaneous fees		Fees will be based upon available services	Collaborative team to determine which institution will offer services
11.3 Collection			Normally follow process of coordinating institution
11.4 Refunds			Normally processed at institution coordinating registration
11.5 Financial holds			Normally follow process of coordinating institution
12. Ancillary and Support Services	Medium		Collaborative team to determine which institution will provide the services/determine funding model
12.1 Residence		 Access to residence rooms for students in the program 	 What is the process for residence allocation? Is residence guaranteed?
12.2 Students' Association Affiliation (Health Plan)		Student Health and Dental Insurance	
12.3 Campus Card			Do students need a campus card from both institutions?

12.4 Library Access			 Will students have access to library from both institutions? How will they gain access? 	
12.5 Athletics Facilities/ Varsity sports				
12.6 Health and Counselling		 Modify funding formula for institution providing service 		
12.7 Career Services				
12.8 Services for students with disabilities				
12.9 Academic advising				
13. Awards and Financial Assistance	Medium	WebsiteData sharingSupport	 Collaborative team to determine which institution will coordinate/make decisions Recommended best practice: normally follow the process of the institution coordinating admission/registration 	
13.1 OSAP eligibility and criteria			6 7 8	
13.2 Scholarships and bursaries				
13.2.1 Unlimited entrance				
scholarships				
13.2.2 Prestige scholarships				
13.2.3 Transfer student scholarships				
13.2.4 In-course scholarships				
13.2.5 Entrance bursaries				
13.2.6 In-course bursaries				
13.3 Government assistance programs (federal and				
provincial)				
13.4 Work-study program				
13.5 Emergency and Parker Loans				

14. Student Information System	Medium	 Auto processes exclusion/inclusion? Auto communications – exclusion/inclusion? Reports – exclusion/inclusion? 	Collaborative team to determine SIS issues	
14.1 Student unique ID		• See Sections 7.13 and 7.14		
14.2 Data exchange between the two institutions		Format, timelines		
14.3 Access to SIS		• Student access for registration, withdrawals		
14.4 Records Management		Electronic or hard copy?		
14.5 Systems Back up				
15. Records	Medium	Determine which institution will maintain the student record	Recommended best practice: normally the institution that coordinates admission and registration will maintain the student record	
15.1 Transcripts		Determine language/text for collaborative program	Recommended best practice: transcript includes a notation "in conjunction with"	
15.2 Verification of Student Status/Confirmation of Enrolment/T2202		Determine which institution will provide confirmation of status/ enrolment/ income tax receipt	Recommended best practice: follow the process of the coordinating institution	
15.3 Grade Submission		 Deadline for grade submission, access to grading system, changes of grade, outstanding grades 	 Recommended best practice: normally the institution that coordinates admission/registration will coordinate the collection of grades 	
15.4 Ontario Education Number		Collection and disclosure of the OEN	Recommended best practice: follow the process of the coordinating institution	
15.5 Fee exemption		Exemption from international fees	Recommended best practice: follow the process of the coordinating institution	
15.6 Change of name		Determine process for legal change of name, preferred name	Recommended best practice: follow the process of the coordinating institution	

15.7 Record management		Electronic or paper file	• Ensure that both institutions can support the format	
16. Examinations	Medium	• When curriculum is developed examinations will need to be decided upon	Collaborative team to determine examination requirements/schedule/location	
16.1 Scheduling of exams		 Are Final exams required? Dates of academic term? When can exams be scheduled? Logistics? 		
16.2 Deferrals		• Are they available? Who processes?		
16.3 Administration of exams – proctoring		Invigilation		
16.4 Special accommodations		 Is there a facility dedicated to special accommodations i.e. specialized computer software, hardware 		
17. Academic Evaluation	Medium	 Will need to determine if students in the program will have access to the various options which are currently available at each institution Details should be available in the curriculum program plan 	 Collaborative team to determine which institution will coordinate these activities Recommended best practice: follow the process of the coordinating institution/details in the curriculum program plan 	Defer to Joint Academic Council
17.1 Continuation in program		• Evaluation to determine continuation in the program	 Which institution will be responsible for the evaluation? What criteria will be used? 	
17.2 Year standing		 Determine year standing in conjunction with institutional regulations 	 Recommended best practice: normally follow the practice of the institution coordinating admission/registration 	
17.3 Letters of permission		 Are students allowed to study at another institution? 		
17.4 Exchanges/study abroad		 Are students allowed to take part in exchanges? 		
17.5 Change of program elements		Adding/deleting concentrations, minors	Consideration for Co-op option	

17.6 Degree audit report		 Is there a Degree audit report? 	Can both institutions support the degree audit? If not, how will students/support or academic services access? Who has access to the degree audit reports?
17.7 Graduation eligibility assessment		• Automatic graduation or do students apply to graduate?	Recommended best practice – follow the process of the coordinating institution
17.8 Graduation approval process		 Approval process for graduation will need to be determined 	Recommended best practice – establish a joint approval process between the two institutions
17.9 Confirmation of graduation		 How will students be notified? Who will provide confirmation to third parties? 	Recommended best practice – follow the process of the coordinating institution
18. Convocation	Low		Collaborative team to determine all aspects of convocation – date, location, logistics, communication, coordination
18.1 Location of ceremony		 Which institution will host ceremony? Consider having keynote speaker from other institution at graduation ceremony 	Recommended best practice: hold ceremony at host institution
18.2 Dates		Determine dates for convocation	 Ensure that date does not encroach on other institution's ceremonies Recommended best practice: try to maintain consistency from year to year (same place in schedule)
18.3 Faculty/staff representation		 Establish who will attend from each institution Establish protocols for presentation of degrees/diplomas 	Determine who will coordinate – staff resources required

18.4 Credentials		 University Degree and College Diploma Determine wording for collaborative aspect of program for both credentials Establish signature requirements for joint credentials; recommended best practice – electronic signatures Are students eligible for medals? 	Recommended best practice: " in collaboration with"	
		 Does the program require the establishment of a new medal? 		
18.6 Convocation hood		 Is a new hood required? 		
18.7 Listing of graduates – web,		Will both institutions publish list of		
print		graduates? Electronic/booklets		
18.8 Invitation logistics		Who takes the lead?		
19. Committees and Policies	Low	• Determine which institution will administer		
19.1 Admissions appeals				
19.2 Petitions and Appeals – in				
course (academic regs)				
19.3 Program specific appeals				
19.4 Academic Integrity issues				
19.5 Financial Appeals				
19.6 Student Roles and Responsibilities				
20. Reporting	Low	 Reporting is to be addressed after program is approved. Determine which institution will take the lead 		
20.1 Data Exchange (frequency)				
20.1.1 Admissions				
20.1.2 In program				
20.2 Ministry				